## 1. Introduction

Telecommunication networks are currently the primary infrastructure for providing emergency services. These emergency systems are based on old-fashioned telecommunication technologies that cannot cope with the new Internet Protocol (IP)-based services that the average European citizen use every day. Furthermore, most telecommunication operators and providers have decided to migrate from circuit-switched networks to packet-switched networks after realizing the tangible benefits, which include convergence, rich services, cheaper maintenance, and improved user satisfaction. As Next Generation Networks (NGNs) are replacing the current telecommunication networks, it follows that the current emergency systems need to be upgraded as well in order to fulfil the NGN regulatory requirements in terms of emergency services.

The NGNs technologies make use of best of both worlds: flexibility, efficiency and innovativeness of IP networks, and Quality of Service (QoS), Security, Reliability, Customer-friendly features of legacy networks. The transition from circuit-switched telephony to IP telephony requires the provision of the same functionalities already offered in circuit switched networks. This applies, in particular, to emergency services. As Public Switched Telephone Networks (PSTN) will be removed in the future (this is expected to be achieved until 2020), operators are obliged to provide emergency services in IP networks as well. In many countries, this is already regulated by the government or on the way to be regulated. In this deliverable, we try to (1) better understand the current emergency systems (2) describe and evaluate the standardization work being achieved in order to handle the migration of these vital services to NGNs.

## **Table of Contents**

1.	Executive Summary
2.	Introduction
3.	Definitions
4.	State Of the Art on emergency services
	4.1. General Description
	4.1.1. Organisation and Structure of Emergency Services
	4.1.2. Communication between Members of the Public and Emergency services
	4.1.3. Communication First Responders/ Emergency Services
	4.1.4. Emergency support for persons with disabilities
	4.1.5. The Role of Social Media in Emergency Situations
5.	Next generation emergency services
	5.1. Next-Generation All-IP-based Networks Overview
	5.2. Session Initiated Protocol (SIP)/ IP Multimedia Subsystem (IMS) Description
	5.3. Browser based real time communication
	5.3.1. WebRTC
	5.4. Impact of the NGN migration on Emergency Services
6.	Emergency activities and interested groups
	6.1. Standardization bodies
	6.1.1. European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI)
	6.1.2. European Committee for Standardization (CEN)
	6.2. Interested groups
	6.2.1. European eCall Implementation Platform (EeIP)
	6.2.2. Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)
	6.2.3. Description of the issues addressed by ETSI and IETF
	6.2.4. Support for persons with disabilities
	6.2.5. European Emergency Number Association (EENA)
	6.2.6. PSCE (Public Safety Communication Europe)
7.	Requirements collection and analysis
	7.1. Legislative requirements
	7.2. General and technical requirements
	7.3. Potential scenarios
	7.3.1. Emergency call to 112 from a VoIP client
	7.3.2. Emergency call to 112 from a browser
	7.3.3. Emergency call followed by emergency warnings
	7.3.4. Emergency call from a person with disability
	7.3.5. Emergency call functionalities for communication with people with disabilities
	7.3.6. Emergency call in case of telecom infrastructure non-Operational
	EMYNOS Consortium

		7.3.7. E-Call in case of Accidents	
		7.3.8. Emergency Guidelines through a Broadcast Network	
		7.3.9. Communication in Emergency Situation (Earthquake)	
3. 0		nclusions	
9. F	₹e	ferences	
٩nı	ne	x 1. National Emergency Systems	
1	1.	Austria	
		1.1 Organisation and Structure of Emergency Services in Austria	
		1.2 Communication between Members of the Public and Emergency services	
2	2.	Belgium	
		2.1 Organisation and Structure of Emergency Services	
		2.2 Communication between Members of the Public and Emergency Services	
3	3.	Germany	
		3.1 Organisation and Structure of Emergency Services	
		3.2 Communication between Members of the Public and Emergency services	
2	4.	Greece	
		4.1. Organisation and Structure of Emergency Services	
		4.2. Communication between Members of the Public and Emergency services	
	5.	Poland	
		5.1. Organisation and Structure of Emergency Services	
		5.2 Communication between Members of the Public and Emergency services	
6	ŝ.	Romania	
		6.1. Organisation and Structure of Emergency Services	
		6.2. Communication between Members of the Public and Emergency services	
7	7.	Spain	
		7.1. Organisation and Structure of Emergency Services	
		7.2. Communication between Members of the Public and Emergency services	
8	3.	Turkey	
		8.1. Organisation and Structure of Emergency Services	
		8.2. Communication between Members of the Public and Emergency services	
F	Re	ferences Annex 1	
Annex 2. Support for persons with disabilities			
1	1.	Introduction	
2	2.	Classification of users with disabilities and communication technologies they use	
3	3.	Experience from previous projects and activities	
F	R₽	ferences Annex 2	